arXiv:1602.07268v1 [math.PR] 23 Feb 2016

On the Law of Large Numbers for Discrete Fourier Transform

Na Zhang

University of Cincinnati, PO Box 211005, Cincinnati, OH 45221-0025
Email: zhangn4@mail.uc.edu

Abstract

We establish the rate of convergence in the strong law of large numbers of discrete Fourier Transform of the identically distributed random variables with finite *pth* moment where $1 < p < 2$. Moreover, under an even weaker condition, i.e. $P(|X_n| \geq x) \leq P(|X_1| \geq x)$ for all $x \geq 0$ and the random variable X_1 has finite *pth* moment, our results still hold.

1 Introduction and Results

The law of large numbers is valid for pairwise independent random variables, result due to Etemadi (1981). This is a surprising result since a sequence of pairwise independent identically distributed random varibles may not be ergodic. A way to look into the speed of convergence of this result when the variables have finite moments of order r, $1 < r < 2$, is provided by Baum and Katz (1964) in the i.i.d case. By carefully examining the proof in George Stoica (2011), we notice that the proof can be adapted to centered pairwise independent random variables and we can formulate the following result.

Proposition 1.1. *Let $(X_n)_{n\geq 1}$ be pairwise independent with the same distribution.*

(a) Assume $X_n \in L^1$, then

$$\frac{S_n}{n} \to EX_1, \quad P-a.s.\ as\ n \to \infty,$$

where $S_n = \sum_{k=1}^n X_k$.

(b) Assume $X_n \in L^p$, $1 < p < 2$, then for any $1 \leq r \leq p$,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{p/r-2} P\left(|S_n| > \epsilon n^{1/r}\right) < \infty.$$

Furthermore, $\dfrac{S_n - ES_n}{n^{1/p}} \to 0$ by Korchevsky (2015).

The goal of our note is to study the law of large numbers for the discrete Fourier Transform of a sequence of identically distributed random variables and to show that, from some point of view, the variables have similar properties with pairwise independent random variables.

Let $(X_n)_{n\geq 1}$ denote a sequence of identically distributed real valued random variables on a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$. For $-\pi \leq t < \pi$, define the discrete Fourier transform

$$S_n(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} e^{ikt} X_k. \tag{1}$$

We shall establish an anologue of the Baum and Katz (1964) result for the discrete Fourier Transform.

Our results are the following:

Theorem 1.2. *If $(X_n)_{n\geq 1}$ has finite first moment, then for almost all $t \in [-\pi, \pi)$,*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{S_n(t)}{n} = 0, \quad P - a.s.$$

The following theorem describes the rate of convergence in the strong law of large numbers:

Theorem 1.3. *Let $1 < p < 2$, $1 \leq r \leq p$. If $(X_n)_{n\geq 1}$ has finite pth moment, then for every $\epsilon > 0$ and for almost all $t \in [-\pi, \pi)$,*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{p/r-2} P[\max_{1\leq k\leq n} |S_k(t)| > \epsilon n^{1/r}] < \infty. \tag{2}$$

Corollary 1.4. *Under the assumption of Theorem 1.3, for almost all $t \in [\pi, \pi)$,*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{S_n(t)}{n^{1/p}} = 0, \quad P - a.s.$$

Remark 1.5. Theorem 1.2 still holds if we replace the identically distributed condition with $P(|X_n| \geq x) \leq P(|X_1| \geq x)$ for all $x \geq 0$ and $E|X_1| < \infty$; Theorem 1.3 and Corollary 1.4 are also true if we only have $P(|X_n| \geq x) \leq P(|X_1| \geq x)$ for all $x \geq 0$ and $E|X_1|^p < \infty$ where $1 < p < 2$.

2 Proofs

Throughout this whole paper, $C > 0$ denotes a generic constant which may take different values from line to line.

In order to prove Theorem 1.2, we shall establish one preparatory lemma first. We begin by a truncation argument.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $Y_k = X_k I\{|X_k| \leq k\}$ and $S_n^*(t) = \sum_{k=0}^n e^{ikt} Y_k$. Then, for all t in $[-\pi, \pi)$,*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \left| \frac{1}{n} S_n(t) - \frac{1}{n} S_n^*(t) \right| = 0, \quad P - a.s.$$

Proof. By the fact that the random variables have the same distribution, we obtain

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(X_n \neq Y_n) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(|X_n| > n) \leq \int_0^{\infty} P(|X_1| > x) dx = E|X_1| < \infty.$$

By the Borel-Cantelli Lemma, we know $P(X_n \neq Y_n \ i.o.) = 0$. That is, for almost all $\omega \in \Omega$, $X_n(\omega) = Y_n(\omega)$, for all n sufficiently large, say for all $n \geq m(\omega) := m$. Thus for all $t \in [-\pi, \pi)$,

$$\left| \frac{1}{n} S_n(t) - \frac{1}{n} S_n^*(t) \right| = \frac{1}{n} \left| \sum_{k=0}^{n} e^{ikt} (X_k - Y_k) \right| \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{m} |e^{ikt}| \cdot |X_k - Y_k| = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{m} |X_k - Y_k| \to 0, \quad P - \text{a.s.}$$

That is,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \left| \frac{1}{n} S_n(t) - \frac{1}{n} S_n^*(t) \right| = 0, \quad P - \text{a.s.}$$

□

2.1 Proof of Theorem 1.2

Proof. First, let us show that $\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{e^{ikt}Y_k}{k}$ converges a.s.

From Durrett (page 64), we know that $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{EY_k^2}{k^2} \leq 4E|X_1| < \infty$. So, for almost all $\omega \in \Omega$, $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{Y_k^2(\omega)}{k^2} < \infty$.

Then, by Carleson's Theorem (1966), for almost all $\omega \in \Omega$, $\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{e^{ikt}Y_k}{k}$ converges a.s. in t. That is, for almost all $\omega \in \Omega$, there exists $I_\omega \subset [-\pi, \pi]$ with $\lambda(I_\omega) = 2\pi$, such that for all $t \in I_\omega$, $\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{e^{ikt}Y_k}{k}$ converges.

Let $\Omega_0 = \{\omega : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{Y_k^2(\omega)}{k^2} < \infty\}$, then $P(\Omega_0) = 1$.

It is convenient to work on the product space $[-\pi, \pi) \times \Omega$ with product measure $\widetilde{P} := \lambda \times P$ where λ is the Lebesgue measure on $[-\pi, \pi)$. Define $A = \{(w, t) : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{e^{ikt}Y_k}{k}$ is convergent$\} \subset \Omega \times [-\pi, \pi)\}$.

Using Fubini Theorem,

$$\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{P}(A) &= \int_{[-\pi,\pi]\times\Omega} I_A(\omega, t) d\widetilde{P} = \int_\Omega \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} I_A(\omega, t)\, d\lambda dP \\
&= \int_{\Omega_0} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} I_A(\omega, t)\, d\lambda dP = \int_{\Omega_0} 2\pi dP \\
&= 2\pi \\
&= \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \int_\Omega I_A(\omega, t)\, dP d\lambda.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, for almost all $t \in [-\pi, \pi)$, $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{e^{ikt}Y_k}{k}$ converges almost surely in ω.

Now by applying Kronecker Lemma (If $a_n \uparrow \infty$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (x_n/a_n)$ converges, then $a_n^{-1} \sum_{m=1}^{n} x_m \to 0$), for almost all $t \in [-\pi, \pi)$, we obtain

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} e^{ikt} Y_k = \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} S_n^*(t) = 0 \quad P - a.s.$$

By Lemma 2.1, it follows that for almost all $t \in [-\pi, \pi)$:

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} S_n(t) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} S_n^*(t) = 0, \quad P - a.s.$$

□

2.2 Proof of Theorem 1.3

Proof. Define the following variables:

$$X_k^{'} = e^{itk} X_k I\{|X_k| \leq n^{1/r}\}, \quad X_k^{''} = e^{itk} X_k I\{|X_k| > n^{1/r}\}.$$

Clearly, $e^{itk} X_k = X_k^{'} + X_k^{''}$ and $S_n(t) = S_n^{'}(t) + S_n^{''}(t)$ where $S_n^{'}(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} X_k^{'}$ and $S_n^{''} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} X_k^{''}$.

By Markov's Inequality,

$$P\left[\max_{1\leq k\leq n} |S_k^{'}(t)| > \epsilon n^{1/r}\right] \leq \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} n^{-2/r} E\left[\left(\max_{1\leq k\leq n} |S_k^{'}(t)|\right)^2\right], \tag{3}$$

and by the maximal inequality in Hunt and Young (1974),

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \max_{1\leq k\leq n} |S_k^{'}(t)|^2 \lambda(dt) \leq C \sum_{k=1}^{n} |X_k^{'}|^2. \tag{4}$$

Using Fubini's Theorem and properties (3) and (4), we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{P}\left[\max_{1\leq k\leq n} |S_k^{'}(t)| > \epsilon n^{1/r}\right] &= \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} P\left[\max_{1\leq k\leq n} |S_k^{'}(t)| > \epsilon n^{1/r}\right] \lambda(dt) \\
&\leq \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} n^{-2/r} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} E\left[\left(\max_{1\leq k\leq n} |S_k^{'}(t)|\right)^2\right] \lambda(dt) \\
&= \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} n^{-2/r} E\left[\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left(\max_{1\leq k\leq n} |S_k^{'}(t)|\right)^2 \lambda(dt)\right] \\
&\leq \frac{C}{\epsilon^2} n^{-2/r} E\left[\sum_{k=1}^{n} |X_k^{'}|^2\right] \\
&= \frac{C}{\epsilon^2} n^{-2/r} \sum_{k=1}^{n} E\left[(X_k)^2 I(|X_k| \leq n^{1/r})\right].
\end{aligned}$$

By George Stoica (2011) (page 912), we can get:

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{p/r-2/r-2} \sum_{k=1}^{n} E\left[(X_k)^2 I(|X_k| \leq n^{1/r})\right] \leq C \sup_{k\geq 1} E|X_k|^p < \infty,$$

Combing this result with our computation, we obtain:

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{p/r-2} \widetilde{P}\left[\max_{1\leq k\leq n} |S_k^{'}(t)| > \epsilon n^{1/r}\right] < \infty. \tag{5}$$

Again, by Markov's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} P\left[\max_{1\le k\le n}|S_k^{''}(t)|>\epsilon n^{1/r}\right] &\le \frac{1}{\epsilon}n^{-1/r}E\left[\max_{1\le k\le n}|S_k^{''}(t)|\right] \\ &\le \frac{1}{\epsilon}n^{-1/r}E\left[\sum_{k=1}^{n}|X_k^{''}|\right] \\ &= \frac{1}{\epsilon}n^{-1/r}\sum_{k=1}^{n}E\left[|X_k^{''}|\right] \\ &= \frac{1}{\epsilon}n^{-1/r}\sum_{k=1}^{n}E\left[|X_k|I\{|X_k|>n^{1/r}\}\right]. \end{aligned}$$

By George Stoica (2011) (page 912), we can get:

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}n^{p/r-1/r-2}\sum_{k=1}^{n}E\left[|X_k|I(|X_k|\le n^{1/r})\right]\le C\sup_{k\ge 1}E|X_k|^p<\infty.$$

Combining this result with our computation, we obtain:

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}n^{p/r-2}P\left[\max_{1\le k\le n}|S_k^{''}(t)|>\epsilon n^{1/r}\right]\le CE|X_k|^p<\infty. \tag{6}$$

Using Fubini's Theorem and relation (6)

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}n^{p/r-2}\widetilde{P}\left[\max_{1\le k\le n}|S_k^{''}(t)|>\epsilon n^{1/r}\right] &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}n^{p/r-2}\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}P\left[\max_{1\le k\le n}|S_k^{''}(t)|>\epsilon n^{1/r}\right]\lambda(dt) \\ &= \int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}n^{p/r-2}P\left[\max_{1\le k\le n}|S_k^{''}(t)|>\epsilon n^{1/r}\right]\lambda(dt) \\ &\le 2\pi CE|X_k|^p<\infty. \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

Combining (5) and (7), we get:

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}n^{p/r-2}\widetilde{P}[\max_{1\le k\le n}|S_k(t)|>\epsilon n^{1/r}] \\ &\le \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}n^{p/r-2}\widetilde{P}[\max_{1\le k\le n}|S_k^{'}(t)|>\frac{\epsilon}{2}n^{1/r}]+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}n^{p/r-2}\widetilde{P}[\max_{1\le k\le n}|S_k^{''}(t)|>\frac{\epsilon}{2}n^{1/r}]<\infty. \end{aligned}$$

By Fubini's Theorem, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}n^{p/r-2}\widetilde{P}[\max_{1\le k\le n}|S_k(t)|>\epsilon n^{1/r}] \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}n^{p/r-2}\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\int_{\Omega}I\{\max_{1\le k\le n}|S_k(t)|>\epsilon n^{1/r}\}dP\lambda(dt) \\ &= \int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}n^{p/r-2}\int_{\Omega}I\{\max_{1\le k\le n}|S_k(t)|>\epsilon n^{1/r}\}dP\lambda(dt) \\ &= \int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}n^{p/r-2}P\left(\max_{1\le k\le n}|S_k(t)|>\epsilon n^{1/r}\right)\lambda(dt)<\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, for almost all $t \in [-\pi, \pi)$,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{p/r-2} P\left(\max_{1\le k\le n} |S_k(t)| > \epsilon n^{1/r}\right) < \infty.$$

□

2.3 Proof of Corolary 1.4

Proof. By Theorem 1.3, when $1 < r = p < 2$, we have: for almost all $t \in [-\pi, \pi)$,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-1} P\left(\max_{1\le k\le n} |S_k(t)| > \epsilon n^{1/p}\right) < \infty,$$

which is equivalent to

$$\sum_{N=1}^{\infty} P\left(\max_{1\le k\le 2^N} |S_k(t)| > \epsilon 2^{N/p}\right) < \infty.$$

Then, by Remark 1 in Dedecker and Merlevede (2006), for almost all $t \in [-\pi, \pi)$,

$$S_n(t)/n^{1/p} \to 0, \quad P - \text{a.s.}$$

□

3 Appendix: Details about Remark 1.5

Proof. First, we show that Theorem 1.2 is true.

Here we use the same truncation as before, i.e. $Y_k = X_k I\{|X_k| \le k\}$.

As $P(|X_n| \ge x) \le P(|X_1| \ge x)$ and $E|X_1| < \infty$, then

$$E|X_n| = \int_0^{\infty} P(|X_n| \ge x)dx \le \int_0^{\infty} P(|X_1| \ge x)dx = E|X_1| < \infty.$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(X_n \ne Y_n) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(|X_n| > n) \le \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(|X_1| > n) \le \int_0^{\infty} P(|X_1| > x)dx = E|X_1| < \infty.$$

So we still have Lemma 2.1. Then the proof of Theorem 1.2 works.

The proof of Theorem 1.3 still works under the assumption of Remark 1.5 and Theorem 1.3 implies Corollary 1.4.

□

4 Acknowledgement

This paper is partially supported by the NSF grant, DMS-1512936.

References

[1] Baum, L.E. and Katz, M., Convergence rates in the law of large numbers, Trans.Amer.Math.Soc. 120 (1965), pp. 108-123.

[2] Billingsley, P., Probability and Measure, Anniversary ed., Wiley, 2012.

[3] Carleson, L., On convergence and growth of partial sums of Fourier series, Acta Mathematica, 116?1966?, no. 1, pp. 135-157.

[4] Dedecker, J. and Merlevede, F., Convergence rates in the law of large numbers for Banach-valued dependent variables, Theory Probab. Appl. 52 (2008), pp. 416-438.

[5] Durrett, R., Probability: Theory and Examples, 4th ed., Cambridge, NewYork, 2013.

[6] Hunt, R.A. and Young, W.S., A weighted norm inequality for Fourier Series, Bull.Amer.Math. 80 (1974), pp. 274-277.

[7] Korchevsky, V., Marcinkiewicz-Zygmund Strong law of large numbers for pairwise i.i.d random variables, Aug. 2015, arXiv: 1404.7454 [math.PR].

[8] Marcinkiewicz, J. and Zygmund, A., Sur les fonctions independantes, Fund.Math. 29 (1937), pp. 60-90.

[9] Stoica, G. , A note on the rate of convergence in the strong law of large numbers for martingales, J.Math. Anal. Appl. 381 (2011), pp. 910-913.